



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/872,552 | 05/31/2001 | Ching-Lan Ho | OR01-04201 | 2250 | |
| 22835 7: | 590 10/31/2003 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP | | | LE, MIR | LE, MIRANDA | |
| 508 SECOND S SUITE 201 | STREET | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| DAVIS, CA | 95616 | | . 2177 | 4 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003 | , / | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| • | • | 09/872,552 | HO ET AL. | 2/ | | | |
| • | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | / | | | |
| | | Miranda Le | 2177 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication | | · | 9SS | | | |
| Period fo | · | | | | | | |
| THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory. | ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nunication. | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 31 May 2001 | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ | This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) 🗌 | Since this application is in condition for all | lowance except for formal m | atters, prosecution as to the r | merits is | | | |
| Dienositi | closed in accordance with the practice un on of Claims | der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C | s.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| · - | Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applica | ation | | | | | |
| - | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | didwii iioiii ooiioidoratioii. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected. | • | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar | nd/or election requirement | | | | | |
| | on Papers | · | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Exam | niner. | | • | | | |
| 10) 🔲 🤈 | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a | ccepted or b) objected to by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection t | to the drawing(s) be held in abe | yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| _ | If approved, corrected drawings are required i | • • | | | | | |
| 12) 🗌 | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | e Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | eign priority under 35 U.S.C | . § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a)[| ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | • | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority docum | nents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority docum | nents have been received in | Application No | | | | |
| * S | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa see the attached detailed Office action for a | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | | age | | | |
| _ | cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom | • | | oplication). | | | |
| _a |) ☐ The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom | provisional application has | been received. | • | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No |) 5) 🔲 Notice o | v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 | | | | |
| S. Patent and Ti | ademark Office | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 6, 15, 24 are objected to because of the following informalities: "hexidecimal" should be changed to "hexadecimal". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4, 7-11, 13, 16-20, 22, 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kennedy et al. (US Patent No. 5,675,818).

Kennedy anticipated independent claims 1, 13, 15 by the following:

As to claims 1, 10, 19, Kennedy teaches "a method for facilitating use of a collation element that supports a large number of characters, comprising: receiving the collation element" col. 7, line 47 to col. 8, line 52;

"reading a primary weight value from a primary weight field within the collation element" at col. 8, line 53 to col. 9, line 12;

"if the primary weight value falls within a reserved set of values, reading an additional portion of the primary weight value from a secondary weight field and a tertiary weight field within the collation element" at col. 9, line 14 to col. 10, line 10;

Art Unit: 2177

"if the primary weight value is not within the reserved set of values, reading a secondary weight value from the secondary weight field within the collation element" at col. 7, line 47 to col. 8, line 67, col. 9, lines 1-12;

"reading a tertiary weight value from the tertiary weight field within the collation element" at col. 7, line 47 to col. 8, line 52.

As to claims 2, 11, 20, Kennedy teaches "if the primary weight value falls within a reserved set of values, the method additionally comprises: setting the secondary weight value to a secondary default value" at col. 8, line 54 to col. 9, line 11, Fig. 2C;

"setting the tertiary weight value to a tertiary default value" at col. 8, line 54 to col. 9, line 11, Fig. 2C.

As to claims 4, 13, 22, Kennedy teaches "the primary weight value identifies a character" at col. 7, line 47 to col. 8, line 52;

"wherein the secondary weight value can specify an accent on the character" at col. 7, line 47 to col. 8, line 14;

"wherein the tertiary weight value can specify case information for the character" at col. 7, line 47 to col. 8, line 30.

As to claims 7, 16, 25, Kennedy teaches "the collation element is taken from a collation weight table that is used to map characters to collation weights in order to establish an ordering between strings of characters" at col. 14, lines 1-62.

Art Unit: 2177

As to claims 8, 17, 26, Kennedy teaches "constructing a sorting key for a string by: reading each character in the string" at col. 14, lines 1-62;

"looking up a corresponding collation element for each character from the collation weight table" at col. 7, line 6 to col. 8, line 52;

"adding the corresponding collation element for each character to the sorting key" at col.
7, line 6 to col. 8, line 52.

As to claims 9, 18, 27, Kennedy teaches "wherein the sorting key is associated with a record within a database" at col. 7, line 6 to col. 8, line 52, Fig. 1C;

"wherein the sorting key is used to construct a linguistic index for the database" at col. 13, lines 11-46, col. 7, lines 6-45.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR·1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2177

5. Claims 3, 5-6, 12, 14-15, 21, 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kennedy et al. (US Patent No. 5,675,818), in view of Davis et al. ("Unicode Technical Standard #10, Unicode Collation Algorithm").

As to claims 3, 12, 21, Kennedy does not specifically teach "the collation element adheres to a structure specified in Unicode Technical Report No. 10". However, Davis teaches this limitation on page 33, ¶ 6.11.1.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Kennedy with the teachings of Davis to include "the collation element adheres to a structure specified in Unicode Technical Report No. 10" in order to provide a complete resolution of the handling of canonical and compatibility equivalences as relates to the default ordering.

As to claims 5, 14, 23, Kennedy does not specifically teach "the collation element is four-bytes in size, of which the primary weight field is two bytes, the secondary weight field is one byte and the tertiary weight field is one byte, unless a value in the primary weight field belongs to the reserved set of values, in which case the primary weight field takes up all four bytes of the collation element". However, Davis teaches this limitation on page 33, ¶ 6.11.1.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Kennedy with the teachings of Davis to include "the collation element is four bytes in size, of which the primary weight field is two bytes, the secondary weight field is one byte and the tertiary weight field is one byte, unless a value in the

Art Unit: 2177

primary weight field belongs to the reserved set of values, in which case the primary weight field takes up all four bytes of the collation element" in order to allow implementations to produce culturally acceptable collation, while putting the least burden on implementations in terms of memory requirements and performance.

As to claims 6, 15, 24, Kennedy does not specifically teach "the reserved set of values for the primary weight value includes hexadecimal values OxFFFO-OxFFFF". However, Davis teaches this limitation on page 33, ¶ 6.11.1.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Kennedy with the teachings of Davis to include "the reserved set of values for the primary weight value includes hexadecimal values OxFFFO-OxFFFF" in order to provide a Database Management System having a Collation Engine with improved methods for collating information with internationalization support, in which the Collation Engine includes an improved method for comparing text strings with a culturally-predictable result.

Art Unit: 2177

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Miranda Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-3203. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene, can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax number to this Art Unit is (703) 872-9306. The TC 2100's Customer Service number is (703) 306-5631.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

> VMC

Miranda Le October 27, 2003

GRETA ROBINSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER